	Case 2:22-cv-00613-DAD-KJN Docume	ent 70 Filed 12/21/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL JOHNSON,	No. 2:22-cv-00613-DAD-KJN (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	J. MATA, et al.,	ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 69)
16		(100.110.05)
17		
18	Plaintiff Michael Johnson is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
19	brought pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403	
20	U.S. 388 (1971). This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
21	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
22	On October 10, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
23	recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to	
24	prosecute this action. (Doc. No. 69.) Specifically, the magistrate judge noted that the service	
25	copy of the court's order dated September 1, 2023 (Doc. No. 68), which was mailed to plaintiff at	
26	his address of record, had been returned to the court marked as "Undeliverable." Thus, plaintiff	
27	was required to file a notice of his change of address with the court no later than November 13,	
28	2023. Because plaintiff had not done so, the magistrate judge concluded that plaintiff has failed 1	

## 1 to comply with Local Rule 183(b)'s requirement that a party appearing pro se inform the court of 2 any address change. (Doc. No. 69.) Further, because plaintiff had not otherwise communicated 3 with the court, the magistrate judge concluded that plaintiff has failed to prosecute this action. 4 The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff by mail at his address of 5 record and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 2.)<sup>1</sup> To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been 6 7 filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. 8 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 9 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 10 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 11 Accordingly: 12 1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 10, 2023 (Doc. No. 69) are 13 adopted in full; 14 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute 15 this action; and 16 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated: **December 20, 2023** 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 2:22-cv-00613-DAD-KJN Document 70 Filed 12/21/23 Page 2 of 2

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On November 3, 2023, the service copy of the findings and recommendations were returned to the court marked as "Undeliverable, Return to Sender, Unable to Forward."